



Al-Hikmah Journal of Politics and Public Administration

ISSN (Print): 3121-8814

Volume 1, Number 4, June 2026



DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION IN NIGERIA'S FOURTH REPUBLIC (1999–PRESENT): ASSESSING THE TINUBU ADMINISTRATION IN HISTORICAL CONTEXT

RUKAYAT TAIYE ABDULKADIR¹

¹Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Al-Hikmah University, Ilorin.
Email: abdulkadirrukayat01@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines democratic consolidation in Nigeria's Fourth Republic from 1999 to the present, with particular focus on the administration of President Bola Ahmed Tinubu. Using democratic consolidation theory and institutional analysis, the paper adopts a qualitative and historical research design based on secondary sources, including scholarly literature, policy reports, election observer reports, and government publications. The study evaluates key indicators of democratic consolidation such as electoral integrity, institutional autonomy, rule of law, governance legitimacy, and civil liberties. Findings reveal that although Nigeria has maintained uninterrupted civilian rule and regular elections since 1999, democratic consolidation remains incomplete due to persistent challenges including electoral irregularities, weak institutions, corruption, insecurity, and socio-economic inequalities. The Tinubu administration reflects both continuity and reform within Nigeria's democratic trajectory, particularly through economic restructuring policies and institutional reforms. However, economic hardship, political contestation, and concerns over civil liberties continue to shape public perceptions of democratic legitimacy. The study concludes that democratic consolidation in Nigeria requires stronger institutions, transparent electoral governance, accountable leadership, and inclusive socio-economic development.

Keywords: *Democratic Consolidation, Tinubu Administration, Governance, Electoral Integrity, Fourth Republic*

Introduction

The return of Nigeria to civilian rule in 1999 marked a significant turning point in its political history after prolonged military authoritarianism. Since then, the country has sustained a democratic system under what is commonly referred to as the Fourth Republic. This continuity has often been interpreted as a sign of democratic consolidation. However, scholars caution that the mere endurance of elections does not equate to deep-rooted democratic stability.

Democratic consolidation refers to the process through which democracy becomes “the only game in town,” meaning that all political actors accept democratic rules as legitimate and

irreversible Democratic consolidation. It involves not only institutional stability but also the internalization of democratic norms such as rule of law, accountability, and citizen participation. Nigeria's democratic journey has been characterized by both progress and contradictions. On one hand, the country has conducted regular elections since 1999 and witnessed historic power alternation in 2015. On the other hand, persistent challenges such as electoral malpractice, elite domination, and governance deficits continue to undermine democratic quality.

The emergence of President Bola Ahmed Tinubu in 2023 adds a new dimension to the discourse on democratic consolidation. As a long-standing political actor and former governor, Tinubu's administration provides an important case for assessing whether Nigeria is moving toward deeper democratic consolidation or merely sustaining procedural democracy.

Research Objectives

The objectives of this study are to:

1. Examine the trajectory of democratic consolidation in Nigeria since 1999.
2. Analyze the role of political institutions in sustaining democratic governance.
3. Assess the impact of the Tinubu administration on democratic consolidation.
4. Identify major challenges affecting democratic deepening in Nigeria.
5. Recommend measures for strengthening democratic governance in Nigeria.

Research Questions

1. To what extent has Nigeria achieved democratic consolidation since 1999?
2. How have political institutions influenced democratic governance in Nigeria?
3. What impact has the Tinubu administration had on democratic consolidation?
4. What factors continue to hinder democratic deepening in Nigeria?

Research Gap

Although several studies have examined democratic governance in Nigeria's Fourth Republic, limited scholarly attention has been given to assessing the Tinubu administration within the broader historical trajectory of democratic consolidation since 1999. Existing studies often focus separately on electoral politics, governance, or institutional reforms without integrating them into a comprehensive democratic consolidation framework. This study therefore fills this gap by situating the Tinubu administration within the continuum of Nigeria's democratic development.

Conceptual Clarification: Democratic Consolidation

Democratic consolidation is a multidimensional concept with varying interpretations across the literature. Scholars such as Linz and Stepan argue that a democracy is consolidated when it becomes stable, enduring, and broadly accepted by political actors and citizens alike. At its core, democratic consolidation refers to the process through which democratic principles, institutions, and practices become deeply rooted and widely accepted within a political system. This process involves the institutionalization of democratic procedures, whereby political competition, elections, and governance follow established constitutional rules rather than arbitrary or authoritarian practices. According to Juan J. Linz and Alfred Stepan, democratic consolidation occurs when democracy becomes “the only game in town,” meaning that political actors consistently operate within democratic rules and institutions. Central to this process is strict adherence to the rule of law, ensuring that both leaders and citizens are subject to legal frameworks, which enhances accountability and prevents arbitrariness in governance. Equally important is the presence of an independent judiciary, which guarantees impartial adjudication of disputes and protects constitutional order, thereby strengthening public confidence in democratic institutions. Furthermore, democratic consolidation requires competitive and credible elections, through which political power is legitimately acquired and transferred, reinforcing political stability and legitimacy over time. Lastly, an active civil society plays a vital role by promoting participation, holding leaders accountable, and fostering democratic values among citizens. As scholars such as Larry Diamond emphasize, these elements; institutions, rule of law, elections, and civic engagement are mutually reinforcing and collectively ensure that democracy is not only practiced but sustained and deepened over time. Thus, democratic consolidation is not merely about the existence of democratic structures but about their effectiveness, legitimacy, and endurance within the political system. These elements ensure that democracy is not easily reversible and is embedded in both political institutions and societal norms.

Schedler (1998) expands this understanding by emphasizing that consolidation involves preventing democratic breakdown, deepening democratic practices, and completing democratic transitions. In the Nigerian context, this framework is particularly relevant given the country’s history of military interruptions.

Theoretical Framework

This study adopts Democratic Consolidation Theory, particularly the analytical framework developed by Andreas Schedler, to examine Nigeria's political trajectory since 1999. Schedler conceptualizes democratic consolidation not as a single outcome but as a multidimensional process involving both the prevention of democratic regression and the advancement of democratic quality. Specifically, he identifies three interrelated dimensions: avoiding democratic breakdown, avoiding democratic erosion, and deepening democratic quality. The first dimension emphasizes the durability of democratic regimes and their resistance to authoritarian reversal, while the second focuses on preventing gradual weakening of democratic norms and institutions. The third dimension highlights the need to improve the effectiveness, accountability, and inclusiveness of democratic governance. As Schedler argues, a consolidated democracy is one that has acquired a strong probability of survival and resilience against internal and external threats.

In the Nigerian context, the uninterrupted civilian rule since 1999 and the absence of military coups suggest significant progress in preventing democratic breakdown. However, persistent challenges such as electoral manipulation, weak governance structures, and elite domination indicate ongoing democratic erosion, thereby limiting the deepening of democratic quality. This aligns with broader scholarly arguments that democratic consolidation involves both stability and the enhancement of institutional performance over time. To complement this perspective, the study also employs institutional theory, which emphasizes the role of formal political structures such as political parties, electoral management bodies, and the judiciary in shaping democratic outcomes. As scholars note, democratic consolidation is closely tied to the strength, autonomy, and effectiveness of these institutions, since they constitute the foundational infrastructure through which democratic rules are practiced and sustained.

Thus, by integrating Schedler's framework with institutional analysis, this study provides a comprehensive understanding of Nigeria's democratic experience, highlighting both its resilience and its enduring structural weaknesses.

Historical Overview of Democratic Consolidation in Nigeria (1999–2023)

Olusegun Obasanjo Era (1999–2007)

The administration of Olusegun Obasanjo marked the beginning of Nigeria's Fourth Republic and represented a critical phase in the country's democratic transition following prolonged

military rule. Scholars generally agree that the return to civilian governance in 1999 created opportunities for democratic institutionalization, although the foundations of democracy remained fragile due to the legacy of authoritarianism, weak institutions, and low public trust in governance. According to Shola Omotola (2010), the early Fourth Republic was characterized by institutional fragility, particularly within the electoral system and party structures, which significantly shaped democratic outcomes during the period.

The Obasanjo administration introduced reforms aimed at stabilizing democratic governance and rebuilding state institutions. These reforms included restructuring the military to reduce the possibility of military intervention in politics, strengthening diplomatic relations, and implementing economic liberalization policies intended to stabilize the economy. Scholars such as Richard Joseph (2014) argue that these initiatives contributed to democratic continuity by consolidating civilian supremacy and restoring Nigeria's international image after years of military dictatorship. In this regard, the period contributed positively to the prevention of democratic breakdown, which is one of the key dimensions of democratic consolidation identified by Andreas Schedler.

However, despite these institutional efforts, several scholars contend that the Obasanjo era was also characterized by significant democratic deficits. Elections conducted during the 2003 and 2007 electoral cycles were widely criticized by domestic and international observers for irregularities, electoral violence, vote manipulation, and lack of transparency. Reports by the European Union Election Observation Mission noted widespread procedural failures and electoral malpractice, thereby raising concerns about electoral integrity and institutional autonomy. Scholars such as Christopher Isike and Sakiemi Idoniboye-Obu (2011) further argue that democratic consolidation during this period was constrained by elite domination and weak democratic norms.

One of the most controversial developments of the period was the attempted constitutional amendment popularly referred to as the "third-term agenda," which sought to extend presidential tenure beyond the constitutional limit. Many scholars interpret this development as evidence of executive overreach and a challenge to constitutionalism and the rule of law. Although the proposal ultimately failed due to opposition from civil society, political elites, and the National Assembly, the episode exposed the vulnerability of democratic institutions to elite manipulation.

In addition, the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) was frequently criticized for lacking institutional autonomy, as allegations of executive influence over electoral administration weakened public confidence in elections. Omotola (2010) describes the elections of this period as reflecting elite preferences rather than genuine democratic competition. Consequently, while the Obasanjo administration succeeded in sustaining civilian rule and preventing democratic collapse, democratic consolidation remained limited by weak electoral credibility, executive dominance, and institutional fragility.

Overall, the Obasanjo era laid the structural foundation for Nigeria's Fourth Republic by sustaining civilian governance and initiating institutional reforms. Nevertheless, the persistence of electoral malpractice, constitutional controversies, and concentration of executive power suggests that democracy during this period remained largely procedural rather than fully consolidated.

Yar'Adua and Jonathan Era (2007–2015)

The administrations of Umaru Musa Yar'Adua and Goodluck Jonathan represented a transitional phase in Nigeria's democratic development, particularly in relation to electoral reform, political inclusion, and democratic legitimacy. Scholars generally describe this period as one characterized by incremental democratic progress, although significant institutional challenges persisted.

Upon assuming office in 2007, Yar'Adua openly acknowledged the flaws that characterized the 2007 general elections and initiated reforms aimed at improving electoral credibility. Most significant among these reforms was the establishment of the Electoral Reform Committee headed by Justice Muhammadu Uwais. The committee recommended measures designed to strengthen the independence of INEC, reduce executive interference in electoral administration, and improve the transparency of elections. RotimiSuberu (2007) and Richard Joseph (2014) argue that these reforms reflected an important recognition of the institutional weaknesses undermining democratic consolidation in Nigeria.

Although Yar'Adua's administration was brief due to his ill health and eventual death in 2010, his commitment to electoral reform contributed to strengthening governance legitimacy and institutional accountability. Furthermore, the constitutional transfer of power to Vice President Goodluck Jonathan following Yar'Adua's death demonstrated adherence to constitutional procedures and contributed positively to democratic continuity.

Under Jonathan's administration, Nigeria witnessed relative improvements in electoral credibility, particularly during the 2011 general elections. Reports by the European Union Election Observation Mission described the elections as more competitive and transparent compared to previous electoral cycles, despite incidents of violence and logistical shortcomings. In addition, the administration witnessed expanded civic engagement, increased media participation, and greater involvement of youth and civil society organizations in political discourse.

A landmark moment in Nigeria's democratic history occurred in 2015 when Jonathan conceded defeat to Muhammadu Buhari following the presidential election. Scholars such as Larry Diamond (2015) describe this peaceful transfer of power between ruling parties as a significant milestone in Nigeria's democratic consolidation process because it reinforced electoral legitimacy and democratic stability.

Nevertheless, despite these gains, democratic consolidation during the Yar'Adua–Jonathan era remained constrained by corruption, insecurity, and institutional weaknesses. The Boko Haram insurgency intensified during this period, exposing weaknesses in governance capacity and state legitimacy. In addition, allegations of corruption and weak institutional enforcement continued to undermine public trust in government.

In summary, the Yar'Adua–Jonathan administrations contributed positively to democratic continuity through electoral reforms, constitutional succession, and peaceful transfer of power. However, persistent institutional weaknesses and governance challenges limited the deepening of democratic quality.

Buhari Administration (2015–2023)

The administration of Muhammadu Buhari introduced a different dimension to Nigeria's democratic trajectory, characterized by anti-corruption initiatives, institutional reforms, and electoral technological modernization. Buhari's government emphasized accountability and transparency, particularly through anti-corruption campaigns and reforms aimed at strengthening public institutions.

One of the most significant institutional developments during this period was the introduction of technological innovations such as the Bimodal Voter Accreditation System (BVAS) and the INEC Result Viewing Portal (IReV). These reforms were intended to improve electoral integrity by reducing voter fraud and enhancing transparency in result management. Electoral scholars

and observer missions acknowledged that these technologies represented important institutional innovations capable of improving electoral administration.

However, despite these institutional advancements, scholars argue that democratic consolidation during Buhari's administration remained constrained by governance deficits and declining democratic quality. Reports by Freedom House (2020–2023) highlighted concerns regarding shrinking civic space, restrictions on media freedom, and allegations of human rights violations. These concerns raised questions regarding the protection of civil liberties and adherence to democratic norms.

Furthermore, Nigeria experienced worsening insecurity during this period, including insurgency in the Northeast, banditry in the Northwest, and increasing incidents of kidnapping across the country. These security challenges weakened governance legitimacy and reduced public confidence in state institutions. Economic difficulties also intensified during the administration, with recessions recorded in 2016 and 2020, rising unemployment, and growing inflation contributing to public dissatisfaction.

Although the administration maintained democratic continuity and regular elections, the credibility of elections remained contested. Scholars such as Owen (2023) argue that technological innovation alone cannot guarantee democratic consolidation in the absence of institutional independence, accountability, and political neutrality.

Consequently, while the Buhari administration contributed to institutional continuity and electoral modernization, the persistence of insecurity, economic hardship, and restrictions on civic freedoms demonstrated the fragility of democratic consolidation in Nigeria.

Key Indicators of Democratic Consolidation in Nigeria

Electoral Integrity

Electoral integrity remains one of the most important indicators of democratic consolidation because credible elections provide legitimacy to democratic governance and strengthen public trust in political institutions. Since the return to civilian rule in 1999, Nigeria has conducted several electoral cycles; however, concerns regarding transparency, electoral malpractice, and institutional credibility have persisted.

The 2023 general elections, which brought Bola Ahmed Tinubu to power, represented a critical moment in Nigeria's democratic development. The elections combined significant technological innovation with intense political contestation. The Independent National Electoral Commission

introduced technological tools such as BVAS and IReV to enhance transparency, reduce fraud, and strengthen electoral credibility. Reports by the International Foundation for Electoral Systems acknowledged that such reforms represented important institutional efforts toward improving electoral integrity.

Despite these innovations, the elections were accompanied by allegations of irregularities, delays in result transmission, logistical failures, and disputes regarding procedural transparency. Opposition parties challenged the outcome of the presidential election before the Supreme Court, arguing that the electoral process lacked credibility. The judiciary eventually upheld Tinubu's victory, thereby reinforcing constitutional continuity and preventing political instability.

Scholars such as Larry Diamond argue that judicial resolution of electoral disputes reflects democratic resilience because it provides constitutional mechanisms for conflict resolution rather than encouraging unconstitutional alternatives. Nevertheless, analysts such as Rotimi Suberu maintain that judicial validation alone does not eliminate broader concerns regarding electoral transparency and public trust.

The 2023 elections therefore illustrate both the progress and limitations of Nigeria's democratic consolidation process. While technological reforms and judicial arbitration demonstrate institutional continuity, persistent allegations of irregularities reveal ongoing weaknesses in electoral integrity and governance legitimacy.

Political Parties and Internal Democracy

Political parties are central institutions in democratic systems because they organize political competition, recruit leaders, aggregate interests, and provide platforms for citizen participation. However, scholars consistently argue that political parties in Nigeria remain weakly institutionalized and dominated by elite interests.

One major challenge affecting party politics in Nigeria is godfatherism, whereby influential political elites control candidate selection, party financing, and electoral outcomes. This practice undermines internal democracy by prioritizing patronage over merit and weakening accountability within political parties. Studies by Ojo et al. (2025) indicate that godfatherism reduces democratic competition and contributes to declining public trust in political institutions.

In addition, intra-party conflicts remain widespread across major political parties in Nigeria. These conflicts often emerge from disputed primaries, imposition of candidates, and weak

enforcement of party constitutions. Scholars such as Ezeani et al. (2024) argue that persistent internal crises weaken party cohesion and undermine democratic stability.

Another major weakness is the absence of ideological consistency within Nigerian political parties. Political defections are common, and party loyalty is frequently driven by access to power rather than policy orientation. Awofeso et al. (2017) contend that this personalization of politics weakens accountability and disconnects parties from citizens' interests.

Consequently, rather than functioning as institutions that deepen democracy, many political parties in Nigeria reinforce elite bargaining and patron-client relationships. These structural weaknesses continue to limit democratic consolidation by weakening institutional accountability and reducing citizen confidence in the political system.

Rule of Law and Judicial Independence

The rule of law and judicial independence remain essential components of democratic consolidation because they ensure accountability, constitutional governance, and peaceful resolution of political disputes. In Nigeria's Fourth Republic, the judiciary has played a significant role in managing electoral conflicts and preserving constitutional order.

Despite this important role, scholars consistently identify concerns regarding political interference, selective justice, and delays in adjudication. Research by Osemwegie and Izevbuwa (2025) suggests that executive influence and appointment politics continue to affect perceptions of judicial neutrality, particularly in politically sensitive cases.

Furthermore, delays in resolving election petitions often undermine democratic legitimacy because contested officeholders may continue governing while legal disputes remain unresolved. Suleiman and Gambo (2025) argue that prolonged judicial processes weaken public confidence in the effectiveness of democratic institutions.

Concerns regarding selective justice and inconsistent judicial rulings have also contributed to declining public trust in the judiciary. Elumelu and Gbenda (2024) note that judicial decisions are sometimes perceived as favouring politically powerful actors, thereby undermining the credibility of courts as impartial arbiters.

Nevertheless, the judiciary continues to serve as a stabilizing institution within Nigeria's democracy by providing constitutional mechanisms for resolving political disputes. Scholars such as Oraegbunam and Okwudinka (2024) describe this process as the "judicialization of

politics,” where courts increasingly become central arenas for democratic contestation and political arbitration.

Therefore, while Nigeria’s judiciary faces operational and institutional challenges, it remains indispensable to democratic continuity and constitutional governance.

Civil Liberties and Political Participation

Political participation and protection of civil liberties are important indicators of democratic quality because they reflect the extent to which citizens can freely engage in political processes and hold leaders accountable.

In recent years, Nigeria has witnessed increased youth participation in politics, particularly through civic activism and digital mobilization. Movements such as #EndSARS significantly increased political awareness among young people and encouraged participation in electoral discourse and voter registration. Scholars such as Akele, Ariyo, and Ajayi (2025) argue that digital activism has contributed to expanding democratic engagement among Nigerian youths.

However, increased political awareness has not translated into sustained electoral participation. Studies by Abdullahi and Arabo (2023) indicate that voter turnout in Nigeria has continued to decline across electoral cycles, reflecting growing political apathy and declining trust in democratic institutions.

Scholars further argue that political disengagement in Nigeria is closely linked to corruption, electoral irregularities, poor governance, and unfulfilled political promises. Hamid, Ikara, and Sandamu (2024) describe voter apathy as a rational response to systemic failures within the democratic system.

Economic hardship also significantly limits meaningful political participation. High levels of poverty, unemployment, and inflation constrain citizens’ ability to engage actively in politics, thereby reinforcing exclusion and reducing democratic inclusion.

Thus, although political consciousness among citizens has increased, persistent socio-economic challenges and institutional weaknesses continue to undermine effective democratic participation in Nigeria.

Governance and Economic Performance

Governance legitimacy is closely tied to the ability of democratic governments to improve citizens’ welfare and provide effective socio-economic leadership. Although Nigeria has

experienced uninterrupted democratic rule since 1999, socio-economic conditions remain challenging.

Empirical studies indicate that poverty, unemployment, and inflation continue to affect millions of Nigerians. Avong and Magaji (2025) argue that poverty in Nigeria remains multidimensional and is worsened by weak policy implementation and economic inequality.

In recent years, unemployment levels and inflationary pressures have significantly reduced purchasing power and increased public dissatisfaction with governance. Rising food prices, cost-of-living pressures, and declining living standards have contributed to growing frustration among citizens.

Scholars argue that these economic difficulties weaken democratic legitimacy because citizens increasingly question the ability of democratic institutions to deliver meaningful socio-economic benefits. This aligns with Seymour Martin Lipset's argument that democratic stability depends partly on economic performance and citizens' confidence in governance.

Consequently, while Nigeria has sustained democratic continuity, governance deficits and economic hardship continue to undermine democratic consolidation by weakening public trust and reducing support for democratic institutions.

Tinubu Administration and Democratic Consolidation

Overview of Tinubu's Administration

The administration of Bola Ahmed Tinubu, inaugurated on May 29, 2023, emerged from a highly competitive and contested electoral process. Since assuming office, the administration has pursued ambitious economic reforms aimed at restructuring Nigeria's economy and improving fiscal sustainability.

Among the most significant policies introduced by the administration were the removal of fuel subsidy and the liberalization of the foreign exchange market. Government officials justified these reforms as necessary measures to reduce fiscal burdens, attract investment, and improve macroeconomic stability. Institutions such as the World Bank have acknowledged that these reforms may improve long-term fiscal sustainability.

However, the immediate social consequences of the reforms have been severe. Rising fuel prices, inflation, and increased cost of living have generated widespread hardship for many Nigerians. Labour unions, civil society organizations, and economic analysts have criticized the government for insufficient social protection measures to cushion the effects of the reforms.

Beyond economic policy, the administration has also pursued political restructuring through appointments, institutional reorganization, and coalition-building within the ruling elite. Supporters argue that these strategies have strengthened political stability and policy coordination, while critics contend that aspects of the administration reflect patronage politics and concentration of executive influence.

Consequently, Tinubu's administration reflects the complex relationship between economic reform, governance legitimacy, and democratic consolidation.

Electoral Legitimacy and Political Contestation

The electoral victory of Bola Ahmed Tinubu remains one of the most debated issues in Nigeria's contemporary democratic discourse. Although the Supreme Court upheld the election outcome, opposition parties and sections of civil society continue to question aspects of the electoral process.

Reports by the European Union Election Observation Mission and other observer groups identified logistical challenges, delays in electronic result transmission, and procedural inconsistencies during the election. These developments generated debates regarding electoral credibility and institutional trust.

At the same time, the emergence of strong opposition movements, particularly the Labour Party and increased youth mobilization, demonstrated the existence of democratic competition and political pluralism within Nigeria's political system.

However, opposition politics in Nigeria continues to face structural challenges, including internal divisions, weak institutionalization, and elite-driven politics. Scholars such as Richard Joseph and Larry Diamond argue that weak party structures and unstable coalitions remain major obstacles to democratic consolidation in many African democracies.

Thus, while the 2023 elections reflected democratic continuity and competitive politics, they also exposed enduring institutional weaknesses that continue to affect electoral legitimacy and governance trust.

Institutional Reforms and Governance

Tinubu's reform agenda seeks to address long-standing structural challenges within Nigeria's economy and governance system. Policies such as subsidy removal and exchange rate unification were introduced to improve fiscal sustainability and economic efficiency.

While international financial institutions and economic analysts have acknowledged the long-term potential benefits of these reforms, their short-term effects have contributed to inflation, declining purchasing power, and widespread economic hardship.

Recent reports indicate that inflation exceeded 30 percent in 2024, with many citizens struggling to afford basic necessities. Labour unions and civil society groups organized protests in response to rising living costs and declining welfare conditions.

These developments have affected governance legitimacy and public trust in democratic institutions. Scholars argue that although economic reforms may be necessary, governments must balance reform objectives with social protection and inclusive governance.

Nevertheless, supporters of the administration maintain that the reforms are necessary for long-term economic recovery and institutional stability. Consequently, the democratic implications of Tinubu's reforms remain contested and continue to evolve.

Since the Tinubu administration remains ongoing, assessments regarding its long-term impact on democratic consolidation are necessarily provisional. Therefore, this study does not seek to provide definitive conclusions regarding the administration's democratic legacy but rather evaluates emerging governance trends, institutional developments, and democratic challenges observable within the current phase of Nigeria's Fourth Republic.

Civil Liberties and Political Inclusion

Although Nigeria continues to maintain formal democratic structures, concerns regarding civil liberties and political freedoms remain significant. Reports by Freedom House and human rights organizations indicate persistent challenges relating to media freedom, protest rights, and state responses to dissent.

Journalists and activists reporting on politically sensitive issues have occasionally faced harassment, intimidation, and arrests. Similarly, security agencies have sometimes disrupted protests and demonstrations, particularly those relating to economic hardship and governance criticism.

Human rights organizations have also documented allegations of excessive force and arbitrary arrests during protests. These developments have raised concerns regarding democratic accountability and the protection of constitutional rights.

At the same time, Nigeria continues to experience vibrant civic activism, particularly among young people and civil society organizations using digital platforms to engage in political discourse and advocacy.

Thus, Nigeria's democratic environment reflects a complex balance between civic openness and institutional constraints. While political participation and civic engagement remain active, concerns regarding civil liberties and state responses to dissent continue to affect democratic quality and rule of law.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative historical-comparative research design to examine democratic consolidation in Nigeria from 1999 to 2025, with particular focus on the administration of Bola Ahmed Tinubu. The qualitative design is considered appropriate because democratic consolidation is a complex political process that involves institutional development, governance practices, elite behavior, and citizen participation, which cannot be adequately captured through quantitative measurement alone.

The study relies exclusively on secondary sources of data. These include peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, policy reports, election observation reports, government publications, reputable media reports, and institutional publications from organizations such as Freedom House, the European Union Election Observation Mission, the International Crisis Group, the World Bank, and other relevant governance and development bodies. These sources provide both historical and contemporary evidence necessary for assessing democratic trends in Nigeria.

The analytical method employed is qualitative content analysis. This involves systematic examination of documentary evidence in order to identify recurring themes and patterns related to democratic consolidation. Key analytical categories include electoral integrity, institutional autonomy, rule of law, governance legitimacy, civil liberties, and political participation. These categories are derived from Schedler's theory of democratic consolidation and institutional theory.

The study also adopts a historical-comparative approach, enabling comparison across different administrations (Obasanjo, Yar'Adua/Jonathan, Buhari, and Tinubu) in order to identify continuity and change in Nigeria's democratic trajectory.

The justification for this methodological approach lies in the nature of the research problem. Democratic consolidation is inherently longitudinal and institutional; therefore, qualitative

historical analysis allows for a deeper interpretation of political developments over time and provides context for understanding democratic strengths and weaknesses in Nigeria's Fourth Republic.

Analytical Note on Tinubu's Administration

The analysis of the administration of Bola Ahmed Tinubu is based on emerging governance trends and therefore remains preliminary in nature. Since the administration is ongoing, any assessment of its contribution to democratic consolidation must be interpreted with caution and cannot yet be considered conclusive.

The administration has implemented major policy reforms, including fuel subsidy removal and foreign exchange liberalization, which are widely recognized by international financial institutions as significant steps toward fiscal restructuring and macroeconomic adjustment. However, empirical evidence also indicates that these reforms have contributed to inflationary pressures, increased cost of living, and public protests, thereby raising concerns about short-term socio-economic welfare.

In terms of electoral legitimacy, the 2023 general elections that brought the administration into office were characterized by both technological innovation and procedural controversies. While the judiciary upheld the electoral outcome, observer missions and civil society organizations documented logistical challenges and inconsistencies in electoral administration. These mixed outcomes highlight the tension between procedural legitimacy and perceived electoral credibility.

On civil liberties, available reports suggest a continuing pattern of constrained civic space, including periodic restrictions on protest activities and concerns about media freedom. At the same time, Nigeria continues to experience active civic engagement and political participation, particularly among youth populations and digital activists.

A key limitation of this analysis is the difficulty of drawing definitive conclusions about democratic consolidation under an incumbent administration. Consequently, this study avoids normative or final judgments and instead focuses on identifying emerging patterns in governance, institutional performance, and democratic quality.

Overall, while the Tinubu administration demonstrates significant reform-oriented governance, its implications for democratic consolidation remain contested and should be understood as part of an ongoing and evolving political process.

Conclusion

In sum, while democratic freedoms in Nigeria are not entirely absent, their uneven application underscores the fragility of civil liberties within the country's democratic framework. The persistence of constraints on media freedom, limitations on protest rights, and heavy-handed state responses to dissent suggest that democratic consolidation remains incomplete. Strengthening these freedoms is essential, as the protection of civil liberties is a cornerstone of democratic legitimacy and a prerequisite for sustainable democratic development. Empirical studies indicate that subsidy removal and currency devaluation have contributed to rising inflation and a sharp increase in the cost of living, with inflation rates surpassing 34% in 2024 and millions of Nigerians struggling to afford basic necessities. Tinubu's administration represents a complex blend of reform-driven governance, political pragmatism, and elite bargaining. While his economic and institutional reforms signal a decisive shift toward restructuring Nigeria's economy and state apparatus, their socio-economic consequences and political implications continue to shape public perception and the broader trajectory of democratic consolidation in Nigeria.

References

- Akindoyin, D. I., & Badru, R. O. (2024). *A synopsis of the Nigerian democracy*. *Kashere Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 2(1), 182–189. This study emphasizes that democratic consolidation in Nigeria depends on effective governance, improved living standards, and institutional trust.
- Anyanwu, I. (2025). *Strengthening democratic consolidation in Nigeria: Citizen engagement, participatory governance, and institutional trust*. Athena Centre for Policy and Leadership. Highlights declining voter turnout and weak legitimacy despite electoral continuity since 1999.
- Azeez, A., Olaleye, I. O., & Fatokun, O. S. (2025). *Ethnicisation of democratic dividends in Nigeria and its implications for governance*. *African Journal of Stability and Development*. Discusses how elite and ethnic interests shape governance outcomes.
- Diamond, L. (1999). *Developing democracy: Toward consolidation*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

- Idowu, S. S., Obajimi, O. J., Kolawole, E., & Joshua, S. (2025). *Democratisation, political and economic development in Nigeria: A critical appraisal of the Fourth Republic*. ABUAD Journal of Social and Management Sciences. Examines the gap between democratic structures and actual development outcomes.
- Joseph, R. (1987). *Democracy and prebendal politics in Nigeria*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lipset, S. M. (1959). *Some social requisites of democracy: Economic development and political legitimacy*. American Political Science Review, 53(1), 69–105.
- Njoku, E. C. (2025). *Democracy, ethnic politics, and nation building in Nigeria (2015–2024)*. Journal of Nation-Building & Policy Studies. Explores how ethnic divisions continue to hinder democratic consolidation.
- Obasun, O. A. (2025). *Undemocratic democracy: Evaluating Nigeria's democratic journey since 1999*. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science. Argues that Nigeria exhibits democratic continuity without substantive socio-economic transformation.
- Ogechi, U. M., & Lazarus, B. (2025). *Security administration and good governance in Nigeria's Fourth Republic*. International Journal of Development and Public Policy. Shows how insecurity undermines governance quality and public trust in democracy.
- Ogundare, Y. D., & Yakubu, N. E. (2025). *Democratic backsliding in Nigeria: A comparative assessment of institutional decline in West Africa*. Hasanuddin Journal of Strategic and International Studies. Analyzes institutional weaknesses and democratic erosion in Nigeria.
- Reuters. (2026). *Nigeria enters consolidation phase after two years of reforms, says finance chief*. Reports on Tinubu's economic reforms, their short-term hardship, and long-term stabilization goals.
- The Guardian. (2024). *Democracy under scrutiny as Nigerians struggle to afford the basics*. Highlights public dissatisfaction and economic hardship under democratic governance.
- Vande, P. T., & Audu, Y. A. (2025). *Democratic governance and public discontent in Nigeria's Fourth Republic (1999–2024)*. International Journal of Political Science and International Relations.

Identifies corruption, economic hardship, and weak governance as drivers of public dissatisfaction with democracy.